**SP20: IRCP Presentation Comments**

Date: 2/26/2020, Starts from 12:00 ~ 13:00

1. **Research: Authoritarian Welfare State Paper: Write a Note here.**

* **Responses of Advisor**
  + Time Trend에 관한
* **Comments in the presentation**

1. Make sure you are consistent in what bullet points are capitalized and which are not.
2. Include a slide for your hypotheses
   1. Revisit your hypotheses when you display your graphs
   2. Juan thinks you may be missing hypotheses? The variation between when you include Party Elites and not in the model tells something for your story.
3. Explain your figure on class coalitions and how you construct this measure.
   1. This slide is also somewhat difficult to read and may benefit from brighter colors? MPSA  projectors are terrible
   2. In addition, make sure people know you are referencing these parties to the Urban Middle Class
   3. And the answer to the decrease in 1980 is 3rd Wave\* Democratization
   4. Know when a state leaves your data set, maybe include a line in this graph about when the state drops out? Is this also a story about transitions in and out of democracy?
4. Some of the items you discuss during your conclusion slide could be spread out to make more of an impact (like transition ad a bit more punchy)
5. Your description of the differences between the classes should be more succinct and clear. You provide the example of Paraguay when you discussed with Juan, but maybe identify an example of each type (per regime)
6. Some of the slides make assertions without a reference to the literature (I don't know if this is something that Wilson made note of but I think some of these assertions could benefit by including the reference)
7. In some parts of the talk you come across as bored/monotonous (?) it may be beneficial to make some more strategic pauses to sound more exciting in the presentation (however don't sound insane like I do)
8. What is the mechanism in autocracies that allow the working class to demand increases in the provision of public goods? What is the threat? (Juan & Hsieh) The data presupposes the group that has the most influence, therefore the question is given these people matter what kind of provision do we see?
   1. Toby doesn't think your control variables are helping your argument, everything is an endogenous mess?
   2. What are the party/support changes that we see over time? Not leadership turnover, but coalition turnover? Panel Matching? It could show Iran 1979 in this.
   3. Is this maybe a story of the provision over time increases to maintain a coalition?
9. AR model, when there is a threat there is a democracy, this might be a good framing. Include a slide on this towards the beginning because this speaks to your broader puzzle.

Not clear when you are making a novel claim versus just manipulating something someone else has already said.